I have three reasons for believing in Jesus: 1) the historical Jesus, 2) arguments for God's existence, and 3) people who think life ends in the grave have character defects. See:

http://www.newevangelization.info/sartre-nagel/campbell.html

It shows poor character to lie about science to promote humanism. The big lies about science that humanists propagate are:

- 1) The human beings, not homo sapiens, evolved from animals. Homo sapiens are hypothetical creatures without free will and the conscious knowledge of human beings.
- 2) The arguments for God's existence are based on as yet to be answered scientific questions: What caused the Big Bang, Fine-Tuning, Origin of Life, Evolution. See: http://www.newevangelist.me/zalta-uri/nodelman.html

https://www.academia.edu/23340072/WHY_PEOPLE_BELIEVE_GOD_CAUSED_THE _BIG_BANG

- 3) The Catholic Church teaches that human beings have souls, which are imparted to embryos and survive the death of human beings.
- 4) Biological evolution does not violate the second law of thermodynamics because the second law only applies to isolated systems. See:

"Pseudoscience in the American Journal of Physics" (http://www.pseudoscience123.com).

https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An_Analogy_Between_Nazi_Germany_and_t he_United_States

The American Journal of Physics published an absurd article with a calculation proving the second law is not violated. This craziness happened because creationists and many ignorant Christians say evolution violates the second law. Thermodynamics is the study of liquids, solids and gases, and has nothing to do with biological evolution.

This replicates the conflict about the theory of intelligent design. Advocates of ID promote their brand of pseudoscience by perpetrating a scam. They compare ID with natural selection in order to make ID look good. Atheists go along with the scam because they don't want to admit that ID is a better theory than natural selection, in some sense.

In the case of ID, both sides are equally wrong. In the case of the second law, the creationists are wrong but the humanists are crazy. My theory about why Catholic

physicists are helping the AJP cover up its mistake is that they are atheist-friendly yellow-bellies. You should ask John Lindberg, Benjamin McFarland, and Karl Krienke. I have attached the record of my contacts with these colleagues of yours. Very truly yours, David Roemer 347-414-2285

Dear Patrick,

Over 90% of all biologists in the U.S. are atheists and they perpetrate three scams on the American people in order to make themselves feel better.

Scam # 1

The theory of evolution is that whales descended with modification from bacteria over a period of 100 million decades. I'm using decades, instead of years, because it takes 20 years for a single fertilized human egg to produce all of the cells in the human body. Using decades indicates how rapidly mammals "evolved" from bacteria. This theory is judged to be true by rational people and gives rise to the question of what caused it.

There are two theories about the mechanism of evolution that are comprehensible to laymen: natural selection and Lamarckism. You don't hear about Lamarkism because fanatical atheists hate the mechanism because it shows how complex a living organism is. Biologists understand other theories involving epigenetics and natural genetic engineering. However, these theories only explain the adaptation of species to the environment. The only theory that even attempts to explain common descent is the theory of intelligent design (ID). There is no evidence for ID and rational people judge it to be false.

To promote ID, religious fanatics compare ID with natural selection. This is pseudoscience because it is creating a straw man. The atheistic science establishment in the U.S. goes along with this scam because it does not want to admit that natural selection and Lamarkism only explain adaptation. The following quote from a science writer, whose Ph.D. is NOT in biology, proves how the atheistic science establishment is deceiving Americans about evolution:

They [Pinker and Bloom] particularly emphasized that language is incredibly complex, as Chomsky had been saying for decades. Indeed, it was the enormous complexity of language that made is hard to imagine not merely how it had evolved but that it had evolved at all....But, continued Pinker and Bloom, complexity is not a problem for evolution. Consider the eye. The little organ is composed of many specialized parts, each delicately calibrated to perform its role in conjunction with the others. It includes the cornea,...Even Darwin said that it was hard to imagine how the eye could have evolved......And yet, he explained, it did evolve, and the only possible way is through natural selection—the inestimable back-and-forth of random genetic mutation with small effects...Over the eons, those small changes accreted and eventually resulted in the eye as we know it. (Christine Kenneally, The First Word: The Search for the Origins of Language, 2007, page 59)

Scam # 2

Many people in the U.S. believe human beings evolved from animals. The truth is that homo sapiens sapiens evolved from animals. Homo sapiens sapiens are hypothetical creatures without free will and the conscious knowledge of human beings. Homo sapiens sapiens have only the sense knowledge of animals.

Scam # 3

The American Journal of Physics article addresses the incorrect idea that evolution violates the second law of thermodynamics which is that heat flows from hot to cold. The idea is as stupid as saying the evolution of stars violates the second law. At the beginning of the article, the author explains why the second law does not apply to evolutionary biology. But then the author performs a demented calculation with the equation that describes the second law. This proves how irrational atheists are about anything touching upon religion. This is what atheists fear:

Religious fanatics: Evolution violates the second law of thermodynamics. Honest scientist: The laws of thermodynamics do not apply to biological systems. Religious fanatic: Does not apply or violates, whatever.

Exposing these scams may not help Christianity at all because many Christians are collaborating with atheists in promoting these scams. See

"Pseudoscience in the American Journal of Physics" (http://www.pseudoscience123.com).

https://www.academia.edu/20939526/An_Analogy_Between_Nazi_Germany_and_t he_United_States
Very truly yours,
David Roemer