Lecturing is not a good way for anyone to learn. Students learn best if they are given an opportunity to create their own knowledge by answering questions and discussing the aim of the lesson in a group. What follows can be used as a handout in a lesson explaining the arguments for God's existence.
1. The human mind is structured like the scientific method. At the lowest level is making observations. The next level is asking questions about the observations and inventing answers or theories. The third level is gathering evidence and deciding whether a theory is true or just probable. The fourth level is deciding what to do with our bodies. Making observations requires paying attention. What do the other three levels require?
2. Is this quote about "supernatural means" and the image of Jesus on the Shroud of Turin rational? There are only three classes of possibilities for the image formation: by human artifice, through natural processes transferring the image to the linen from a real crucified corpse, or by supernatural means. Of the third, not much can be said, because then all scientific discussion and all rational discourse must perforce ceaseBut a lot can be said about natural processes. In terse summary, they can be ruled out definitely by the quality and beauty of the shroud image. (Mueller, Marvin, "The Shroud of Turin: A Critical Appraisal," The Skeptical Inquirer, Spring 1982, p. 27)
3. What Is an observation that leads to the theory of common descent with modifications (theory of evolution)?
4. What are the authors of this quote saying about natural selection and common descent? The history of life presents three great sources of wonder. One is adaptation, the marvelous fit between organism and environment. The other two are diversity and complexity, the huge variety of living forms today and the enormous complexity of their internal structure. Natural selection explains adaptation. But what explains diversity and complexity? (Daniel McShae and Michael Brandon, Biology's First Law :The Tendency for Diversity and Complexity to Increase in Evolutionary Systems, 2010, location 78)

What Is a Developmental Lesson?

5. Is there a conflict between this quote and the quote in question #4?
They [Pinker and Bloom] particularly emphasized that language is incredibly complex, as Chomsky
nad been saying for decades. Indeed, it was the enormous complexity of language that made is
nard to imagine not merely how it had evolved but that it had evolved at allBut, continued Pinker
and Bloom, complexity is not a problem for evolution. Consider the eye. The little organ is
composed of many specialized parts, each delicately calibrated to perform its role in conjunction
with the others. It includes the cornea,Even Darwin said that it was hard to imagine how the eye
could have evolvedAnd yet, he explained, it did evolve, and the only possible way is through
natural selection—the inestimable back-and-forth of random genetic mutation with small effects
Over the eons, those small changes accreted and eventually resulted in the eye as we know it.
Christine Kenneally, The First Word: The Search for the Origins of Language, 2007, pp. 59–60)
Company, The First Word. The equation are engine of Eurigaage, 2007, pp. 66-607
6. There is a lot of evidence for the theory that whales evolved from bacteria over a period of about 100
million decades. Rational people judge this theory to be true. This raises the question of what caused life to
begin and transform as it did. In other words, what was the mechanism for evolution? Why is the theory
hat there was an intelligent designer irrational?
7. What are the observations that lead to our knowledge of God's existence?
. What are the observations that lead to our knowledge of God's existence:
3. What are the questions that the observations in your answer to question #7 should cause an intelligent
person to ask?
9. What is wrong with this quote from an atheist about the mind-body problem:
•
Among the traditional candidates for comprehensive understanding of the relation of mind to the
ohysical world, I believe the weight of evidence favors some from of neutral monism over the
traditional alternatives of materialism, idealism, and dualism. (Thomas Nagel, Mind and Cosmos: Why
the Materialist Neo-Darwinian Conception of Nature Is Almost Certainly False, location 69 of 1831)

O. What is wrong with this quote from a biology textbound certain properties of the human brain distingulation and brain is, after all, the only known collection biologists, the brain and the mind are one and the show it works, and we'll understand such mindful furthilosophers are less comfortable with this mechal mind-body duality more attractive. (Neil Campbell	ish our species from all other animals. The of matter that tries to understand itself. To most same; understand how the brain is organized and inctions as abstract thought and feelings. Some nistic view of mind, finding Descartes' concept of
What is wrong with this quote from a Catholic philo: Acts of self-consciousness (awareness of awarene ime models (one act of awareness capturing itself	ss) are difficult to explain through regular space-
Existence of God: Contributions of Contemporary Phys	sics and Philosophy, 2010, location 2211).
2. What is wrong with this quote from a Catholic physolowever, having started with the empirically quite materialists is practically forced to call a variety of ront of his eyes, but are behind his eyes, so to speco deny that there are some very hard questions the entirely reducible to matter. There certainly are. For the mind, how does it affect the brain and body? (\$2003, location 4612)	unsupported postulate of atheism, the empirical facts 'illusions'—not facts that are in eak, facts about his own mindNone of this is at arise from the idea that the human mind is not r instance, if there is something immaterial about
3. Why are human beings superior to animals?	
4. Why are human beings equal to one another?	

at is a human being? hop George Berkeley (1685 to 1753) was sitting on he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created has infinite power, so God could just as easily crest the rock itself. The philosophy that the material was moved with the word? at is the significance for the arguments for God's expected the significance for the significance	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
hop George Berkeley (1685 to 1753) was sitting on he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created d has infinite power, so God could just as easily cre s the rock itself. The philosophy that the material was m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
hop George Berkeley (1685 to 1753) was sitting on he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created d has infinite power, so God could just as easily cre s the rock itself. The philosophy that the material was m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
hop George Berkeley (1685 to 1753) was sitting on he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created d has infinite power, so God could just as easily cre s the rock itself. The philosophy that the material was m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created has infinite power, so God could just as easily crest the rock itself. The philosophy that the material with m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created has infinite power, so God could just as easily crest the rock itself. The philosophy that the material with m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created has infinite power, so God could just as easily crest the rock itself. The philosophy that the material with m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
he existed because God created him. Berkeley the d God create the rock? Berkley figured God created has infinite power, so God could just as easily crest the rock itself. The philosophy that the material with m wrong?	n realized the the rock so the sate the illustrate the illustrate is an illustrate the illustrate the san il	hat the rocl o Berkeley ion that the usion is call	ek did not know would have a e rock exists i lled <i>idealism</i> .	w it existed. a place to sit. n Berkeley's Why is
m wrong?				
	istence of th	he fact tha	it other humar	n beings
at is the significance for the arguments for God's ex	istence of th	he fact tha	it other humar	n beings
at is the significance for the arguments for God's ex	istence of th	he fact tha	t other humar	n beings
at is the significance for the arguments for God's e	ristence of th	he fact that	t other humar	n beings
at is a final cause?				
at is causality in physics?				
at is causality in metaphysics?				
at 15 Gadsanty in metaphysics:				

22. What is the differ	rence between causality ir	n physics and causality in metaphysics?
3. Why does a finite	e being need a cause?	
4. What can cause	a finite being?	
25. Can there be an	infinite regression of finite	e beings: A is caused by B, B is caused by C, and so on?
26. What is the argu	ment for the existence of	God based on the existence of finite beings?
?7. Why is the argur	nent based on finite being	gs not a proof of God's existence?
28. What is the othe	r argument for the existen	ice of God?