In my last email to Herb London and Peter Wyatt Woods I accused them both of "lying through their teeth" in telling me that the NAS was not interested in my allegation that the Columbia U. is violating the academic freedom of its students and faculty.

The initial negative response of the NAS to my request was verbal. My email says that I, a retired high school teacher, have something worthwhile to say about the arguments for God's existence. My email also says that a peer-reviewed article about evolution in the American Journal of Physics is absurd. The NAS's initial response was perfectly understandable.

However, Mr. Wood took on the roll of defending Columbia U. by sending me a two-page email. Mr. Wood thought that I was promoting the "argument by design" when I am against this argument. My contribution to knowledge, I believe, is that I have a theory that explains why people think the "argument by design" makes sense. Mr. Wood also mentioned my article about Nazi Germany and the U.S. even though this has nothing to do with my accusation against Columbia U.

I responded to Mr. Wood by pointing out his errors. Instead of apologizing for his mistakes, he simply repeated his initial rejection. I am perfectly justified in thinking that Mr. Wood understands that Columbia U. and the American Journal of Physics are wrong and that I am right.

Thomas Aquinas said that to be in error is a moral flaw because we choose to be in error. If Wood and London are wrong they are liars, and if I am wrong I am a liar. A file of all my correspondence with the NAS is attached. I'v attached a revised version of the handout I would use in my lesson about God's existence.

Very truly yours,

David Roemer